H-4079.	1	

HOUSE BILL 2936

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2002 Regular Session

By Representatives Mulliken, Mielke, Lisk, Holmquist, Schindler, Armstrong, Clements, Ahern, Crouse, Sump, Schoesler, Chandler, DeBolt, Orcutt, Dunn and McMorris

Read first time 02/08/2002. Referred to Committee on Local Government & Housing.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to establishing a schedule for review of
- 2 comprehensive plans and development regulations adopted under the
- 3 growth management act; and amending RCW 36.70A.130.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.130 and 1997 c 429 s 10 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
- 7 (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations
- 8 shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or
- 9 city that adopted them. ((Not later than September 1, 2002, and at
- 10 least every five years thereafter,)) A county or city shall take action
- 11 to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and
- 12 development regulations to ensure ((that)) the plan and regulations
- 13 ((are complying)) comply with the requirements of this chapter
- 14 according to the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this
- 15 <u>section and the criteria specified in subsection (5) of this section.</u>
- 16 A county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to
- 17 review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations
- 18 regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according
- 19 to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with

p. 1 HB 2936

- the requirements of this chapter according to the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this section. The review and evaluation required by this subsection may be combined with the review required by subsection (3) of this section.
 - (b) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter((, and any change)). Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.
- 9 (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate 10 to the public a public participation program identifying procedures 11 whereby proposed amendments or revisions of the comprehensive plan are 12 considered by the governing body of the county or city no more 13 frequently than once every year ((except that)). Amendments may be 14 considered more frequently than once per year under the following 15 circumstances:
 - (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan;

5

6 7

8

16

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

- (ii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW; and
- 19 (iii) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a 20 comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or 21 amendment of a county or city budget.
 - (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with a growth management hearings board or with the court.
- 30 (3) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, at least every ten years, its designated urban 31 growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the 32 33 incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In 34 conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an 35 urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within 36 37 the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas. The county comprehensive plan designating 38 urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth 39

нв 2936 р. 2

- l areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located
- 2 within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the
- 3 urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding
- 4 twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be
- 5 combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- 6 (4) The department shall establish a schedule for counties and
- 7 cities to conduct the review and evaluation required by subsection (1)
- 8 of this section. The schedule established by the department shall
- 9 provide for the reviews and evaluations to be completed as follows:
- 10 (a) On or before July 1, 2004, and every ten years thereafter, for
- 11 Clark, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, and Thurston counties and the
- 12 cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before December 1, 2004, and every ten years thereafter,
- 14 for Clallam, Jefferson, and Whatcom counties and the cities within
- 15 those counties;
- (c) On or before December 1, 2005, and every ten years thereafter,
- 17 for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania
- 18 counties and the cities within those counties;
- 19 (d) On or before December 1, 2006, and every ten years thereafter,
- 20 for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima
- 21 counties and the cities within those counties; and
- (e) On or before December 1, 2007, and every ten years thereafter,
- 23 for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor,
- 24 Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens,
- 25 Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within
- 26 those counties.
- 27 (5) No county may be required to review or revise its comprehensive
- 28 plan and development regulations under subsections (1) and (4) of this
- 29 <u>section unless the following criteria are met:</u>
- 30 (a) The unemployment rate for the county is lower than six percent
- 31 as determined by the Washington state employment security department;
- 32 (b) The median household income of the county is at least forty-
- 33 five percent of the median household income for Washington state as
- 34 determined by the office of financial management;
- 35 (c) The county retail taxable sales per capita, as determined by
- 36 the department of revenue using population figures determined by the
- 37 office of financial management, are at least forty-five percent of the
- 38 median county taxable retail sales per capita in Washington state.

p. 3 HB 2936

(6) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the time limits established in subsection (4) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.

(7) A county or city subject to the time periods in subsection (4)(a) of this section that, pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county or city establishing a schedule for periodic review of its comprehensive plan and development regulations, has conducted a review and evaluation of its comprehensive plan and development regulations and, on or after January 1, 2001, has taken action in response to that review and evaluation shall be deemed to have conducted the first review required by subsection (4)(a) of this section. Subsequent review and evaluation by the county or city of its comprehensive plan and development regulations shall be conducted in accordance with the time periods established under subsection (4)(a) of this section.

--- END ---

нв 2936 р. 4